A case for 13-moment two-fluid MHD

E. Alec Johnson

Department of Mathematics University of Wisconsin–Madison

April 5, 2012



Outline

The purpose of this talk is to build a case that a 13-moment 2-fluid model is the simplest fluid model of plasma that can resolve steady fast magnetic reconnection and avoid anomalous cross-field transport in a highly magnetized plasma.

The argument:

- A study of the terms of the XMHD Ohm's law and entropy evolution at the X-point of steady 2D reconnection invariant under 180-degree rotation reveals that nonzero heat flux and viscosity are model requirements.
- In a highly magnitized plasma, anomalous cross-field transport is difficult to avoid unless spatially higher-order-accurate methods are used. Higher-order-accurate positivity-preserving methods are available for hyperbolic models but not yet for diffusive models. Relaxation closures are non-diffusive and trivial for the 13-moment model and are independent of the magnetic field. In contrast, relaxation closures for quadratic-moment models are diffusive, become complicated in the presence of a magnetic field, and become ill-conditioned when the magnetic field becomes strong. As a consequence, implicit methods are necessary and it is difficult to design appropriate preconditioners, particularly for positivity-preserving methods.



Kinetic equations:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t f_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_i + \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_i &= \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{ii} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{ic} := \mathcal{C}_i \\ \partial_t f_c + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_c + \mathbf{a}_c \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_c &= \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{ec} + \overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{ci} := \mathcal{C}_e \end{split}$$

Maxwell's equations:

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{E} - c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{J}/\epsilon_0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sigma/\epsilon_0 \\ \sigma := \sum_s \frac{q_s}{m_s} \int f_s \, d\mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{J} := \sum_s \frac{q_s}{m_s} \int \mathbf{v} f_s \, d\mathbf{v} \end{array}$$

Lorentz force law

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{a}_{i} \,&=\, \frac{q_{i}}{m_{i}} \, \left(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right), \\ \mathbf{a}_{e} \,&=\, \frac{q_{e}}{m_{e}} \left(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \end{split}$$

• Collision operator Conservation dictates: $\int_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{m} \widetilde{C}_{ii} = \mathbf{0} = \int_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{m} \widetilde{C}_{ee},$

$$\int_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{m}(\mathcal{C}_{i} + \mathcal{C}_{e}) = \mathbf{0}$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (1, \mathbf{v}, \|\mathbf{v}\|^2)$.

The source term $C_s = \widetilde{C}_{ss} + \overleftrightarrow{C}_{sp}$ is specified by physics, but there is some freedom in how to allocate C_s among \widetilde{C}_{ss} and $\overleftrightarrow{C}_{sp}$. For weakly collisional plasma $\int_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{m}C_s \approx 0$, and \widetilde{C}_{ss} can be chosen to dominate \widetilde{C}_{sp} .

Gaussian-BGK collision operator

For C_{ss} we obtain relaxation closures with a Gaussian-BGK collision operator which relaxes toward a Gaussian distribution:

$$\widetilde{C}_{ss} = C_{\widetilde{\Theta}} = \frac{f_{\widetilde{\Theta}} - f}{\widetilde{\tau}},$$

where the Gaussian distribution $f_{\widetilde{\Theta}}$ shares physically conserved

moments with f and has pseudo-temperature Θ equal to an affine (not necessarily convex!) combination of the pseudo-temperature Θ and its isotropization:

$$\begin{split} f_{\widetilde{\Theta}} &= \frac{\rho e^{\left(-\mathbf{c}\cdot\widetilde{\Theta}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{c}/2\right)}}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\widetilde{\Theta})}}\,,\\ \Theta &:= \langle \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}\rangle = \int \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}f\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}/\int f\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v},\\ \widetilde{\Theta} &:= \bar{\nu}\theta\mathbb{I}+\nu\Theta,\quad (\bar{\nu}+\nu=1),\\ \bar{\nu} &:= 1/\operatorname{Pr}=\tau/\widetilde{\tau}\,. \end{split}$$

Here $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the heat flux relaxation period, τ is the relaxation period of deviatoric pressure, and $C_{\widetilde{Q}}$ respects entropy if $\widetilde{\Theta}$ is positive definite (i.e. $0 < \tilde{\nu} \leq 3/2$). In the limit $\tilde{\nu} \searrow 0$ heat flux goes to zero and the solution approximates hyperbolic Gaussian-moment (10-moment) gas dynamics.

Use of a Gaussian-BKG collision operator allows one to tune the viscosity $\mu = p\tau$ and the thermal conductivity $k = \frac{5}{2} \frac{\mu}{m Pr}$.

Image: Image:

Evolution equations

$$\begin{split} \bar{\delta}_t \rho_s &= 0\\ \rho_s d_t \mathbf{u}_s + \nabla \rho_s + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_s^\circ &= q_s n_s (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{R}_s\\ \frac{3}{2} \bar{\delta}_t \rho_s + \rho_s \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s + \mathbb{P}_s^\circ : \nabla \mathbf{u}_s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_s &= Q_s \end{split}$$

Evolved moments

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_{\rm s} \\ \rho_{\rm s} \mathbf{u}_{\rm s} \\ \frac{3}{2} \rho_{\rm s} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{c}_{\rm s} \|^2 \end{bmatrix} f_{\rm s} \, d\mathbf{v}$$

Definitions

$$ar{\delta}_t(lpha) := \partial_t lpha +
abla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}} lpha)$$

 $\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{s}} := \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}}$
 $n_{\mathrm{s}} :=
ho_{\mathrm{s}}/m_{\mathrm{s}}$

Relaxation (diffusive) flux closures:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{s}^{o} &= \int (\mathbf{c}_{s}\mathbf{c}_{s} - \|\mathbf{c}_{s}\|^{2}\mathbb{I}/3) \, \mathit{f}_{s} \, \mathit{d}\mathbf{v}, \\ \mathbf{q}_{s} &= \int \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_{s} \|\mathbf{c}_{s}\|^{2} \, \mathit{f}_{s} \, \mathit{d}\mathbf{v} \end{split}$$

Interspecies forcing closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \\ Q_{\mathrm{s}} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{s}} \|^2 \end{bmatrix} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{s}} \, d\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{0}$$

Image: Image:

< E > < E >

Evolution equations

$$\begin{split} \bar{\delta}_t \rho_s &= 0\\ \rho_s d_t \mathbf{u}_s + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_s &= q_s n_s (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{R}_s\\ \bar{\delta}_t \mathbb{P}_s + \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_s \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_s) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{g}}_s &= q_s n_s \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{T}_s \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_s + \mathbb{Q}_s \end{split}$$

Evolved moments

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_{\rm s} \\ \rho_{\rm s} \mathbf{u}_{\rm s} \\ \mathbb{P}_{\rm s} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\rm s} \mathbf{C}_{\rm s} \end{bmatrix} f_{\rm s} \, d\mathbf{v}$$

Definitions

$$\begin{split} \bar{\delta}_t(\alpha) &:= \partial_t \alpha + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_s \alpha) \\ \mathbf{c}_s &:= \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s \\ \text{Sym2}(A) &:= A + A^T \\ n_s &:= \rho_s / m_s \\ \mathbb{T} &:= \mathbb{P} / n \end{split}$$

Relaxation (diffusive) flux closures:

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}_{s}}{\equiv} = \int \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{f}_{s} d\mathbf{c}_{s}$$

Relaxation source term closures:

$$\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{s}} = \int \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{s}} \ \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{s}} \ \mathbf{d} \mathbf{v} = -\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\circ}/ au,$$

Interspecies forcing closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{s} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \end{bmatrix} \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{s} d\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{0}$$

- E > - E >

13-moment multi-fluid plasma

Evolution equations

$$\begin{split} \bar{\delta}_{t}\rho_{s} &= 0\\ \rho_{s}d_{t}\mathbf{u}_{s} + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_{s} &= q_{s}n_{s}(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{R}_{s}\\ \bar{\delta}_{t}\mathbb{P}_{s} + \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{s} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{s}) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{q_{s}}_{\equiv} &= q_{s}n_{s} \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{T}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_{s} + \mathbb{Q}_{s}\\ \bar{\delta}_{t}\mathbf{q}_{s} + \mathbf{q}_{s} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{s} + \underbrace{q_{s}}_{\equiv} : \nabla \mathbf{u}_{s} + \mathbb{P}_{s} : \nabla \underbrace{\Theta}_{s} + \mathbb{P}_{s} \cdot \nabla \theta_{s} + \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{R}}_{s} = \text{Sym3}(\mathbb{P}_{s}\mathbb{P}_{s})/\rho_{s} + \frac{q_{s}}{m_{s}} \underbrace{q_{s}}_{\equiv} \times \mathbf{B} + \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s,t} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{q}}_{s,t} \end{split}$$

Evolved moments

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_{s} \\ \rho_{s} \mathbf{u}_{s} \\ \mathbb{P}_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_{s} \|\mathbf{c}_{s}\|^{2} \end{bmatrix} f_{s} d\mathbf{v}$$

Definitions

$$\begin{split} \bar{\delta}_t(\alpha) &:= \partial_t \alpha + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_s \alpha) \\ \mathbf{c}_s &:= \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s, \quad \text{Sym2}(\mathbf{A}) &:= \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T \\ n_s &:= \rho_s / m_s, \qquad \mathbb{T} &:= \mathbb{P} / n, \\ \underline{\Theta} &:= \mathbb{P} / \rho, \qquad \theta &:= \text{tr} \, \underline{\Theta} / 2, \end{split}$$

Relaxation source term closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{R}_{s} \\ \mathbf{\widetilde{q}}_{ss,t} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_{s} \| \mathbf{c}_{s} \|^{2} \end{bmatrix} C_{ss} \, d\mathbf{v} = \frac{-1}{\tau_{s}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ} \\ \Pr \mathbf{q}_{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hyperbolic flux closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{q}_{s} \\ \underline{\underline{B}}_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \\ \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \| \mathbf{c}_{s} \|^{2} \end{bmatrix} f_{s}(\mathbf{c}_{s}) d\mathbf{c}_{s}$$

Interspecies forcing closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{s} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{s} \\ \overleftarrow{\mathbf{q}}_{s,t} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{c}_{s}\mathbf{c}_{s} \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_{s} \|\mathbf{c}_{s}\|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{s} d\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{0}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Models which evolve Maxwell's equations and classical gas dynamics fail to satisfy a relativity principle. Magnetohydrodyamics (MHD) remedies this problem by assuming that the light speed is infinite. Then Maxwell's equations simplify to

 $\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0},$ $\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \widehat{\mathbf{c}^{-2}} \partial_t \mathbf{E}, \qquad \mu_0 \sigma = \mathbf{0} + \widehat{\mathbf{c}^{-2}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$

This system is Galilean-invariant, but its relationship to gas-dynamics is fundamentally different:

variable	MHD	2-fluid-Maxwell
E	supplied by Ohm's law	evolved
	(from gas dynamics)	(from B and J)
J	$\mathbf{J} = abla imes \mathbf{B}/\mu_{0}$	$\mathbf{J} = \boldsymbol{e}(n_i \mathbf{u}_i - n_e \mathbf{u}_e)$
	(comes from B)	(from gas dynamics)
σ	$\sigma = 0$ (quasineutrality)	$\sigma = e(n_i - n_e)$
	(gas-dynamic constraint)	(electric field constraint)

The assumption of charge neutrality reduces the number of gas-dynamic equations that must be solved:

• net density evolution

The density of each species is the same:

 $n_{\rm i} = n_{\rm e} = n$

net velocity evolution

The species fluid velocities can be inferred from the net current, net velocity, and density:

$$\mathbf{u}_{i} = \mathbf{u} + rac{m_{e}}{m_{i} + m_{e}} rac{\mathbf{J}}{ne}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{u}_{e} = \mathbf{u} - rac{m_{i}}{m_{i} + m_{e}} rac{\mathbf{J}}{ne}.$$

MHD: Ohm's law

For each species $s \in \{i, e\}$, rescaling momentum evolution by \mathbf{q}_s/m_s gives the current evolution equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{s}} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{s}} + (q_{\mathrm{s}}/m_{\mathrm{s}}) \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{s}}) = (q_{\mathrm{s}}^2/m_{\mathrm{s}}) n (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathbf{B}) + (q_{\mathrm{s}}/m_{\mathrm{s}}) \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}}.$$

Summing over both species and using charge neutrality gives net current evolution:

$$\partial_{t}\mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J}\mathbf{u} - \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{J}\right) + e\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}_{i}}{m_{i}} - \frac{\mathbb{P}_{e}}{m_{e}}\right) = \frac{e^{2}\rho}{m_{i}m_{e}}\left(\mathbf{E} + \left(\mathbf{u} - \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho}\mathbf{J}\right) \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\mathbf{R}_{e}}{en}\right).$$

A closure for the collisional term is $\frac{\mathbf{R}_e}{en} = \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{J} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_e \cdot \mathbf{q}_e$.

Ohm's law is current evolution solved for the electric field:

$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}$	(ideal term)
$+ rac{m_{ m i} - m_{ m e}}{e ho} {f J} imes {f B}$	(Hall term)
$+ \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{J}$	(resistive term)
$+ eta_{ m e} \cdot {f q}_{ m e}$	(thermoelectric term)
$+ rac{1}{e ho} abla \cdot (m_{ m e} \mathbb{P}_{ m i} - m_{ m i} \mathbb{P}_{ m e})$	(pressure term)
$+ \frac{m_{i}m_{e}}{e^{2}\rho} \left[\partial_{t}\mathbf{J} + \nabla\cdot\left(\mathbf{uJ} + \mathbf{Ju} - \frac{m_{i}-m_{e}}{e\rho}\mathbf{JJ}\right)\right]$	(inertial term).

Ohm's law gives an implicit closure to the induction equation, $\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ (so retaining the inertial term entails an implicit numerical method).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

mass and momentum:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\rho) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \rho d_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{P}_e + \mathbb{P}^d) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \end{split}$$

Electromagnetism

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0},$$

 $\mathbf{J} = \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$

Ohm's law

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\mathbf{R}_{e}}{en} + \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u} + \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \\ + \frac{1}{e\rho} \nabla \cdot (m_{e}(\rho_{i} \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{P}_{i}^{\circ}) - m_{i}(\rho_{e} \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{P}_{e}^{\circ})) \\ + \frac{m_{i}m_{e}}{e^{2}\rho} \left[\partial_{t} \mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} - \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J} \right) \right]$$

Pressure evolution

$$\frac{3}{2}nd_{t}T_{i} + \rho_{i}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i} + \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{i}:\nabla \mathbf{u}_{i} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{i} = Q_{i},\\ \frac{3}{2}nd_{t}T_{e} + \rho_{e}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{e} + \mathbb{P}^{\circ}_{e}:\nabla \mathbf{u}_{e} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{e} = Q_{e};$$

Definitions:

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{d}_t := \partial_t + \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \nabla, \\ & \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{d}} := \rho_i \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{i}} + \rho_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{w}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = m_{\mathrm{red}} n \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w} \\ & \mathbf{w} = \frac{\mathbf{J}}{en}, \quad \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{i}} = \frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}}{m_{\mathrm{tot}}} \mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{e}} = \frac{-m_{\mathrm{i}}}{m_{\mathrm{tot}}} \mathbf{w}, \end{split}$$

Closures:

$$\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ} = -2\mu : (\nabla \mathbf{u})^{\circ}$$
$$\mathbf{q}_{s} = -k \cdot \nabla T$$
$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{e}}{en} = \eta \cdot \mathbf{J} + \beta_{e} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{e}$$
$$Q_{s} = ?$$

3 1 4 3

mass and momentum:

$$\partial_t
ho +
abla \cdot (\mathbf{u}
ho) = 0$$

 $ho d_t \mathbf{u} +
abla \cdot (\mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{P}_e + \mathbb{P}^d) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$

Electromagnetism

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0,$$

 $\mathbf{J} = \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$

Ohm's law

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} &= \frac{\mathbf{R}_{e}}{\rho n} + \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u} + \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e \rho} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \\ &+ \frac{1}{e \rho} \nabla \cdot (m_{e} \mathbb{P}_{i} - m_{i} \mathbb{P}_{e}) \\ &+ \frac{m_{i} m_{e}}{e^{2} \rho} \left[\partial_{t} \mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} - \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e \rho} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

Definitions:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{d}_{t} &:= \partial_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \cdot \nabla, \\ \mathbb{P}^{d} &:= \rho_{i} \mathbf{w}_{i} \mathbf{w}_{i} + \rho_{\theta} \mathbf{w}_{e} \mathbf{w}_{\theta} \\ \mathbf{w}_{i} &= \frac{m_{e} \mathbf{J}}{e\rho}, \quad \mathbf{w}_{e} = -\frac{m_{i} \mathbf{J}}{e\rho} \end{split}$$

Closures:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{s} &= -\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ} \\ \frac{\mathbf{q}_{s}}{\mathbf{s}} &= -\frac{2}{5} \mathbf{K}_{s} \text{ ; Sym3} \left(\frac{\mathbb{T}_{s}}{\mathbf{T}_{s}} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{T}_{s} \right) \\ \frac{\mathbf{R}_{e}}{en} &= \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{J} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{e} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{e} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{s} &= ? \end{aligned}$$

Pressure evolution

$$\begin{split} n_i d_t \mathbb{T}_i + \mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_i) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_i}_{\equiv} &= \frac{q_i}{m_i} \mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_i \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_i + \mathbb{Q}_i, \\ &= \\ n_e d_t \mathbb{T}_e + \mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_e \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_e) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_e}_{\equiv} &= \frac{q_e}{m_e} \mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_e \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_e + \mathbb{Q}_e \end{split}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

mass and momentum:

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\rho) = 0$$

 $ho d_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{P}_e + \mathbb{P}^d) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$

Electromagnetism

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0,$$

 $\mathbf{J} = \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$

Ohm's law

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} &= \frac{\mathbf{R}_{e}}{en} + \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u} + \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \\ &+ \frac{1}{e\rho} \nabla \cdot (m_{e} \mathbb{P}_{i} - m_{i} \mathbb{P}_{e}) \\ &+ \frac{m_{i} m_{e}}{e^{2} \rho} \left[\partial_{l} \mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} - \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho} J \mathbf{J} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

Diffusive relaxation closures:

$$rac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathbf{e}n} = oldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{J} + oldsymbol{eta}_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{e}}$$

Relaxation source term closures:

$$\mathbb{R}_{s} = -\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ}/\tau_{s}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_{ss,t} = -\mathbf{q}_{s}/\widetilde{\tau}_{s}$$

Hyperbolic flux closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{g}}_{s} \\ \underline{\underline{B}}_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \\ \mathbf{c}_{s} \mathbf{c}_{s} \| \mathbf{c}_{s} \|^{2} \end{bmatrix} f_{s}(\mathbf{c}_{s}) d\mathbf{c}_{s}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Interspecies forcing closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{s} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{s} \\ \overleftarrow{\mathbf{q}}_{s,t} \end{bmatrix} = ?$$

Pressure evolution

$$\begin{split} n_{i}d_{t}\mathbb{T}_{i} + \mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{i}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_{i}) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_{i}}_{\Xi} &= \frac{q_{i}}{m_{i}}\,\mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{i}\times\mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_{i} + \mathbb{Q}_{i}, \\ &\equiv \\ n_{e}d_{t}\mathbb{T}_{e} + \mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{e}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_{e}) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_{e}}_{\Xi} &= \frac{q_{e}}{m_{e}}\,\mathsf{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{e}\times\mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_{e} + \mathbb{Q}_{e} \end{split}$$

Heat flux evolution

$$\bar{\delta}_t \mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{s}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{s}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\mathsf{s}} + \frac{q_{\mathsf{s}}}{\Xi} : \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\mathsf{s}} + \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{s}} : \nabla \underline{\Theta}_{=} + \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{s}} \cdot \nabla \theta_{\mathsf{s}} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{P}}_{=} = \rho(3\theta\Theta + 2\Theta \cdot \Theta) + \frac{q_{\mathsf{s}}}{m_{\mathsf{s}}} \frac{q_{\mathsf{s}}}{\Xi} \times \mathbf{B} + \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathsf{s},t} + \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathsf{s},t}$$

Define a *symmetric 2D* problem to be a 2D problem symmetric under 180-degree rotation about the origin (0). In our simulations of symmetric 2D reconnection the origin is an X-point of the magnetic field:

(magnetic field) at t = 36 / Ω_{1}



The following slides identify requirements for fast magnetic reconnection by analyzing the solution near the X-point. We argue that, for accurate resolution of the electron pressure tensor near the X-point, a fluid model of fast reconnection (1) must resolve two-fluid effects, (2) should resolve strong pressure anisotropy, and (3) must admit viscosity and heat flow.

All equations in this part assume a steady-state solution to a symmetric 2D problem and are evaluated at the origin (0).

1. Ohm's law: fast reconnection needs two-fluid effects.

Ohm's law is net electrical current evolution solved for the electric field. Assuming symmetry across the X-point, the steady-state Ohm's law evaluated at the X-point reads

$$\mathbf{E}^{\parallel} = \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\parallel}}{en} + \tfrac{1}{e\rho} \left[\nabla \cdot \left(m_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{i}} - m_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{e}} \right) \right]^{\parallel} \qquad \text{at 0 for } \partial_{t} = 0.$$

Fast reconnection is nearly collisionless, so the collisional drag term ${\bm R}_{\rm e}$ should be negligible.

For *pair plasma*, the pressure term is zero unless the pressure tensors of the two species are allowed to differ. In fact, kinetic simulations of collisionless antiparallel reconnection admit fast rates of reconnection [BeBh07], and we get similar rates using a two-fluid Gaussian-moment model of pair plasma with pressure isotropization [Jo11].

For *hydrogen plasma*, the electron pressure term chiefly supports reconnection, and the Hall term $\frac{m_i - m_e}{e_{\rho}} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$, although zero at the X-point, appears to accelerate the rate of reconnection [ShDrRoDe01].

For antiparallel reconnection, the pressure tensor becomes strongly agyrotropic in the immediate vicinity of the X-point [Br11, ScGr06]. Stress closures for the Maxwellian-moment model assume that the pressure tensor is nearly isotropic. In contrast, the assumptions of the Gaussian-moment model (that the distribution of particle velocities is nearly Gaussian) can hold even for strongly anisotropic pressure. In practice, we have found good agreement of the Gaussian-moment two-fluid model with kinetic simulations [Jo11, JoRo10]:

- Reconnection rates are approximately correct.
- Reconnection is primarily supported by pressure agyrotropy.
- There is qualitatively good resolution of the electron pressure tensor near the X-point even when the pressure becomes strongly agyrotropic.

3. Theory: steady collisionless reconnection requires viscosity & heat flux

For a symmetric 2D problem, the origin is a stagnation point. Informally, we show that steady reconnection is not possible without heat production near the stagnation point and that a mechanism for heat flow is therefore necessary to prevent a heating singularity at the stagnation point. Formally, define a solution to be **nonsingular** if density and pressure are finite, strictly positive, and smooth; we show that a steady-state solution to a symmetric 2D problem must be singular if viscosity or heat flux is absent.

3a. Steady collisionless reconnection requires viscosity.

By *Faraday's law* the rate of reconnection is $\mathbf{E}^{\parallel}(0)$ (the out-of-plane electric field evaluated at the origin). Momentum evolution implies

$$\mathbf{E}^{\parallel}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{-\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\parallel}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}} + \frac{(\nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{s}})^{\parallel}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}} \qquad \text{at 0 for } \partial_{t} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{1}$$

where σ_s is charge density. For collisionless reconnection the drag force \mathbf{R}_s should be negligible. If the pressure is isotropric or gyrotropic in a neighborhood of 0, then $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_s$ is zero. That is, inviscid models do not admit steady reconnection [HeKuBi04].

Viscous models generate heat near the X-point. Symmetry implies that the Xpoint is a stagnation point. An adiabatic fluid model provides no mechanism for heat to dissipate away from the X-point. As a result, viscous adiabatic models develop a temperature singularity near the X-point when used to simulate sustained reconnection. Numerically, when we simulated the GEM magnetic reconnection challenge problem using an adiabatic Gaussian-moment model with pressure isotropization (viscosity), shortly after the peak reconnection rate temperature singularities developed near the X-point. Theoretically, we have the following steady-state result:

Theorem [Jo11]. For a 2D problem invariant under 180-degree rotation about 0 (the origin), steady-state nonsingular magnetic reconnection is impossible without heat flux for a Maxwellian-moment or Gaussian-moment model that uses linear (gyrotropic) closure relations that satisfy a positive-definiteness condition and respect entropy (in the Maxwellian limit).

Proof (Maxwellian case)

Let ' denote a partial derivative (∂_x or ∂_y) evaluated at 0. Conservation of mass and pressure evolution imply the **entropy evolution equation**:

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{s}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}_{s}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{s}=2\boldsymbol{e}_{s}^{\circ}:\boldsymbol{\mu}_{s}:\boldsymbol{e}_{s}^{\circ}-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathsf{q}}_{s}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{s},\tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{s}^{\circ} = \nabla \mathbf{u}_{s}^{\circ}$ is deviatoric strain, $-\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ} = 2\boldsymbol{\mu}_{s} : \mathbf{e}_{s}^{\circ}$ is deviatoric stress, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{s}$ is the viscosity tensor. Assume that $\mathbf{q}_{s} = 0$ near 0. Evaluating equation (2) at 0 and invoking symmetries yields

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\circ}:\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{s}}:\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\circ}=-\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}.$$

Assume that μ is positive-definite. Assume that thermal heat exchange conserves energy: $Q_i + Q_e = 0$. So Q_s must be zero, so $\mathbf{e}_s^{\circ} = 0$ at 0. Evaluating the second derivative of equation (2) at 0 and invoking symmetries yields $(\mathbf{e}_s^{\circ})' : \mu : (\mathbf{e}_s^{\circ})' = -Q_s''$, which by conservation of energy $(Q_i'' + Q_e'' = 0)$ must be nonpositive for one of the two species (which we take to be s) for differentiation along two orthogonal directions. Using that μ is positive-definite, $(\mathbf{e}_s^{\circ})' = 0$. Therefore, $-(\mathbb{P}_s^{\circ})' = 2(\mu_s : \mathbf{e}_s^{\circ})' = 0$. Since this relation holds for two orthogonal directions, $\nabla \mathbb{P}_s = 0$ at 0, so $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_s = 0$ at 0. So equation (1) says that $\mathbf{E}^{\parallel}(0) = 0$, i.e., there is no reconnection.

Proof (Gaussian case)

Let ' denote a partial derivative (∂_x or ∂_y) evaluated at 0. Conservation of mass and pressure evolution imply the **entropy evolution equation**:

$$n_{s}\mathbf{u}_{s}\cdot\nabla s = -2\tau^{-1}\mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1}:\mathbf{C}:\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ}-\mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1}:\nabla\cdot\mathbf{q}_{s}+\mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1}:\mathbb{Q}_{s},$$
(3)

where $\mathbb{R}_s := \tau^{-1} \mathbf{C} : \mathbb{P}^\circ$ is traceless. Assume that $\underset{\equiv}{q_s} = 0$ near 0. Evaluating equation (3) at 0 and invoking symmetries yields

$$\mathbf{0} = -2\tau^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1}): \mathbf{C}: (\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ}) + \mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1}: \mathbb{Q}_{s}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Assume that **C** satisfies the positive-definiteness criterion $-(\mathbb{P}_s^{-1}): \mathbf{C}:(\mathbb{P}_s^{\circ}) \ge 0$. Assume that a linear closure is used for \mathbb{Q}_i and \mathbb{Q}_s (thermal heat exchange) in terms of \mathbb{P}_i and \mathbb{P}_e which respects total gas-dynamic entropy at 0. Then $\mathbb{P}_s^{\circ} = 0$ at 0. Evaluating the second derivative of equation (3) at 0 and invoking symmetries yields

$$0 = -2\tau^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1})': \mathbf{C}: (\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ})' + (\mathbb{P}_{s}^{-1}: \mathbb{Q}_{s})''.$$
(5)

Using that **C** is positive-definite, $(\mathbb{P}_s^\circ)' = 0$ for a species s. That is, $\nabla \mathbb{P}_s = 0$ at 0, so $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_s = 0$ at 0. So equation (1) says that $\mathbf{E}^{\parallel}(0) = 0$, i.e., there is no reconnection.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> = 三

Part B (Model)

In this second half we present, as the simplest model satisfying these requirements, a Gaussian-BGK closure of Gaussian-moment two-fluid MHD. A Gaussian-BGK collision operator relaxes the particle velocity distribution toward a Gaussian distribution. We assume a Gaussian-BGK collision operator and use a Chapman-Enskog expansion to derive a closure for Maxwellian-moment and Gaussian-moment MHD.

Equations of (Maxwellian-moment) two-fluid MHD

Magnetic field:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$$

Ohm's law:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} &= \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u} + \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \\ &+ \frac{1}{e\rho} \nabla \cdot \left(m_{e} \mathbb{P}_{i} - m_{i} \mathbb{P}_{e} \right) \\ &+ \frac{m_{i} m_{e}}{e^{2}\rho} \left[\partial_{t} \mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} - \frac{m_{i} - m_{e}}{e\rho} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

Mass and momentum:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\rho) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \rho d_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbb{P}_i + \mathbb{P}_e + \mathbb{P}^d) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \end{split}$$

Pressure evolution:

$$\frac{3}{2}nd_tT_i + p_i\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbb{P}_i^{\circ}: \nabla \mathbf{u}_i + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_i = Q_i$$
$$\frac{3}{2}nd_tT_e + p_e\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_e + \mathbb{P}_e^{\circ}: \nabla \mathbf{u}_e + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_e = Q_e$$

Closures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ} = -2\boldsymbol{\mu}_{s} : \boldsymbol{e}_{s}^{\circ} \\ \boldsymbol{q}_{s} = -\boldsymbol{k}_{s} \cdot \nabla T_{s} \\ (\boldsymbol{Q}_{s} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{s}^{f} + \boldsymbol{Q}_{s}^{t}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} d_t &= \partial_t + \mathbf{u}_s \cdot \nabla \\ \mathbf{J} &= \mu_0^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{e}_s^\circ &= (\nabla \mathbf{u}_s)^\circ \\ \rho &= (m_i + m_e)n \\ p_s &= nT_s \\ \mathbb{P}_s &= p_s \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{P}_s^\circ \\ \mathbb{P}^d &= \rho_i \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{w}_i + \rho_e \mathbf{w}_e \mathbf{w}_e \\ \mathbf{w}_i &= \frac{m_e \mathbf{J}}{e\rho}, \quad \mathbf{w}_e &= -\frac{m_i \mathbf{J}}{e\rho} \end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian-moment model evolves full pressure tensors rather than scalar pressure; the equations are identical to those of Maxwellian-moment two-fluid MHD except for the following.

Pressure tensor evolution

$$nd_{t}\mathbb{T}_{i} + \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{i} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{i}) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_{i}}_{\equiv} = \frac{q_{i}}{m_{i}} \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{i} \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_{i} + \mathbb{Q}_{i}$$
$$nd_{t}\mathbb{T}_{e} + \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{e} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{e}) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_{e}}_{\equiv} = \frac{q_{e}}{m_{e}} \text{Sym2}(\mathbb{P}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbb{R}_{e} + \mathbb{Q}_{e}$$

Closures:

Definitions:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{R}_{s} &= -\mathbb{P}_{s}^{\circ}/\tau_{s} \\ \frac{\mathbf{q}_{s}}{\Xi} &= -\frac{2}{5}\mathbf{K}_{s} \vdots \mathsf{Sym3}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{T}_{s}\right) \\ (\mathbb{Q}_{s} &= \mathbb{Q}_{s}^{\mathrm{f}} + \mathbb{Q}_{s}^{\mathrm{t}}) \end{split}$$

$$\pi = \frac{\mathbb{P}}{p} = \frac{\mathbb{T}}{T}$$
Sym2 = X \mapsto X + X^T
Sym3 = {thrice symmetric part}
of third-order tensor}

Assuming a Gaussian-BGK intraspecies collision operator and performing a Chapman-Enskog expansion about an assumed distribution yields closures for deviatoric pressure and heat flux.

For the Maxwell-moment model we expand about a Maxwellian distribution and obtain implicit closures for heat flux and deviatoric pressure [Woods04]:

$$\mathbf{q} + \widetilde{\omega} \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{q} = -k \nabla T, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^{\circ} + \operatorname{Sym2}(\varpi \mathbf{b} \times \mathbb{P}^{\circ}) = -\mu 2 \mathbf{e}^{\circ}, \tag{7}$$

where μ is viscosity, *k* is heat conductivity, $\varpi := \tau \omega_c$ is the gyrofrequency per momentum diffusion rate, $\tilde{\varpi} := \varpi / \Pr$ is the gyrofrequency per thermal diffusion rate, and \Pr is the *Prandtl number;* the gyrofrequency is $\omega_c := q |\mathbf{B}| / m$, and $\mathbf{b} := \mathbf{B} / |\mathbf{B}|$.

For the Gaussian-moment model we expand about a Gaussian distribution and obtain the relaxation closure $\mathbb{R}_s = -\mathbb{P}_s^\circ/\tau_s$ and an implicit closure relation for the heat flux tensor [Jo11, McGr08]:

$$\mathop{=}\limits_{\equiv} +\operatorname{Sym3}(\widetilde{\varpi}\mathbf{b}\times \mathop{=}\limits_{\equiv}) = -\frac{2}{5}k\operatorname{Sym3}\left(\pi\cdot\nabla\mathbb{T}
ight)$$

(8)

In this frame the species index s is suppressed. All products of even-order tensors are **splice products** satisfying

$$\begin{split} (AB)_{j_1 j_2 k_1 k_2} &:= A_{j_1 k_1} B_{j_2 k_2}, \\ (ABC)_{j_1 j_2 j_3 k_1 k_2 k_3} &:= A_{j_1 k_1} B_{j_2 k_2} C_{j_3 k_3}, \end{split}$$

Definitions:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{I}_{\parallel} &:= \mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbb{I}_{\perp} &:= \mathbb{I} - \mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbb{I}_{\wedge} &:= \mathbf{b} \times \mathbb{I}. \end{split}$$

Solving equations (6–7) for \mathbf{q} and \mathbb{P}° gives

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{q} &= -k\widetilde{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla T, \\ \mathbb{P}^{\circ} &= -\operatorname{Sym2}(\mu \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : \boldsymbol{e}^{\circ}), \end{split}$$

where [Woods04]

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathbf{k}} &= \mathbb{I}_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{1+\widetilde{\varpi}^2} (\mathbb{I}_{\perp} - \widetilde{\varpi}\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}), \\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{2} (3\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}^2 + \mathbb{I}_{\perp}^2) + \frac{2}{1+\varpi^2} (\mathbb{I}_{\perp}\mathbb{I}_{\parallel} - \varpi\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{1+4\varpi^2} (\frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I}_{\perp}^2 - \mathbb{I}_{\wedge}^2) - 2\varpi\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}\mathbb{I}_{\perp}). \end{split}$$

Solving equation (8) for $\underline{\underline{q}}$ gives [Jo11]

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\Xi}{\boldsymbol{q}} = - \text{Sym}(\frac{2}{5}\boldsymbol{k}\widetilde{K} : \text{Sym3}(\boldsymbol{\pi}\cdot\nabla\mathbb{T})), \\ & \underset{\widetilde{K}}{\widetilde{K}} = \left(\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}^{3} + \frac{3}{2}\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}(\mathbb{I}_{\perp}^{2} + \mathbb{I}_{\wedge}^{2})\right) \\ & + \frac{3}{1+\widetilde{\varpi}^{2}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\perp}\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}^{2} - \widetilde{\varpi}\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}^{2}\right) \\ & + \frac{3}{1+4\widetilde{\varpi}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I}_{\perp}^{2} - \mathbb{I}_{\wedge}^{2})\mathbb{I}_{\parallel} - 2\widetilde{\varpi}\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}\mathbb{I}_{\perp}\mathbb{I}_{\parallel}\right) \\ & + (k_{0}\mathbb{I}_{\perp}^{3} + k_{1}\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}\mathbb{I}_{\perp}^{2} + k_{2}\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}^{2}\mathbb{I}_{\perp} + k_{3}\mathbb{I}_{\wedge}^{3}), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} k_3 &:= \frac{-6\widetilde{\varpi}^3}{1+10\widetilde{\varpi}^2+9\widetilde{\varpi}^4} &= -(2/3)\widetilde{\varpi}^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\varpi}^{-3}), \\ k_2 &:= \frac{6\widetilde{\varpi}^2+3\widetilde{\varpi}(1+3\widetilde{\varpi}^2)k_3}{1+7\widetilde{\varpi}^2} &= \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\varpi}^{-2}), \\ k_1 &:= \frac{-3\widetilde{\varpi}+2\widetilde{\varpi}k_2}{1+3\widetilde{\varpi}^2} &= -\widetilde{\varpi}^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\varpi}^{-3}), \\ k_0 &:= 1+\widetilde{\varpi}k_1 &= \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\varpi}^{-2}). \end{split}$$

글 🕨 🖌 글

Image: A matrix

Interspecies closure (friction and thermal equilibration)

For collisionless reconnection the interspecies collisional terms should not be necessary for fast reconnection and should be small in comparison to the intraspecies collisional terms. Nevertheless, for completeness we give a linear relaxation closure.

For **thermal equilibration** one can relax toward the average temperature

$$Q_{s}^{t}=\tfrac{3}{2}K\,n^{2}(T_{0}-T_{s}),$$

where $2T_0 := T_i + T_e$, or toward an average temperature tensor

$$\mathbb{Q}_{s}^{t}=\textit{K}\textit{n}^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{0}-\mathbb{T}_{s}),$$

where $2\mathbb{T}_0 := \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_i + \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_e$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathrm{s}} := \bar{\nu} T_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbb{I} + \nu \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{s}},$$

where $\bar{\nu} + \nu = 1$, $0 \le \bar{\nu} \le \frac{3}{2}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ might be 1 or Pr⁻¹. Note that the equilibration rate is *nK*.

Frictional heating results from the interspecies drag force and can be allocated among species in inverse proportion to particle mass:

$$egin{aligned} & Q^{\mathrm{f}} := Q^{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}} + Q^{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{e}} = \eta : \mathsf{J}\mathsf{J} \ & m_{\mathrm{i}}Q^{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}} = m_{\mathrm{e}}Q^{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$$

The frictional tensor heating also must be allocated among directions:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{f}} &= (\alpha_{\parallel} - \alpha_{\perp}) \operatorname{Sym2}(\eta \cdot \operatorname{JJ}) + \alpha_{\perp} \eta : \operatorname{JJ} \mathbb{I}, \\ \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{f}} &= \frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}}{m_{\mathrm{e}} + m_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{f}}, \\ \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{e}} &= \frac{m_{\mathrm{i}}}{m_{\mathrm{e}} + m_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{f}}. \end{split}$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

where $\alpha_{\parallel} + 2\alpha_{\perp} = 1$ and $0 \le \alpha_{\parallel} \le 1$.

Relaxation coefficients

Diffusion

$$\mu_{\rm s} = \tau_{\rm s} n T_{\rm s}$$
$$\frac{2}{5} k_{\rm s} = \frac{\mu_{\rm s}}{m_{\rm s} \, {\rm Pr}_{\rm s}}$$

Relaxation periods

$$\tau_0 := \frac{12\pi^{3/2}}{\ln\Lambda} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{e^2}\right)^2$$

$$n \tau'_{\mathrm{ss}} := \tau_0 \sqrt{m_{\mathrm{s}} \det(\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{s}})}$$

(Using $\sqrt{\det(\mathbb{T}_s)}$, not $T^{3/2}$, so that heat flux tensor closure maintains positivity.)

Braginskii

$$\begin{split} \tau_i &= .96 \tau_{ii}' \\ \tau_e &= .52 \tau_{ee}' \\ \mathsf{Pr}_i &= .61 \approx \frac{2}{3} \\ \mathsf{Pr}_e &= .58 \approx \frac{2}{3} \end{split}$$

Interspecies (neglectable)

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}^{-1} := \tau_0 \frac{m_{\rm i} m_{\rm e}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{T'}{m_{\rm red}}\right)^{3/2} \\ & 2\tau_{\rm ei}^{\epsilon, \rm Br} = (\mathcal{K} n)^{-1} \approx \tau_{\rm e}^{\rm Br} \frac{m_{\rm i}}{m_{\rm e}} \\ & \eta_0 := \lim_{\varpi \to \infty} \eta_\perp = \frac{m_{\rm e}}{e^2 n \tau_{\rm e}^{\rm Br}}, \quad (9) \\ & \eta_\parallel := .51 \eta_0, \end{split}$$

with $m_{\rm red}$ and T' defined by

$$\begin{split} m_{\rm red}^{-1} &:= m_{\rm i}^{-1} + m_{\rm e}^{-1}, \\ \frac{T'}{m_{\rm red}} &:= \frac{T_{\rm i}}{m_{\rm i}} + \frac{T_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e}} \end{split}$$

Braginskii parameters

 $\tau^{\mathrm{Br}}_{\mathrm{i}}:=\tau'_{\mathrm{ii}},\quad \tau^{\mathrm{Br}}_{\mathrm{e}}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tau'_{\mathrm{ee}};$

 τ_e^{Br} (Braginskii's $\tau_e)$ seems defined for equation (9).

Relaxation resistivity

In general,

$$\eta = \frac{\textit{m}_{\rm red}}{\textit{e}^2\textit{n}\tau_{\rm slow}}$$

where $\tau_{\rm slow}$ is interspecies drift damping period.

For a relaxation closure that includes pair plasma $(m_i = m_e)$ one could use the scalar resistivity

$$\begin{split} \eta &= \frac{\alpha m_{\rm red}}{e^2 n \tau'}, \\ n \tau' &:= \tau_0 \sqrt{m_{\rm red}} T'^{3/2}, \end{split}$$

with $\alpha \in \sqrt{2}[.5, 1]$.

Neglecting resistivity

Braginskii's closures are based on Coulomb collisions. In collisionless systems, relaxation is not really mediated by Coulomb collisions, and interspecies relaxation terms should be smaller than this.

Johnson (UW-Madison)

Apr. 5, 2012 28 / 29

References

[Br11] J. U. Brackbill, A comparison of fluid and kinetic models for steady magnetic reconnection, Physics of Plasmas, 18 (2011).

[BeBh07] Fast collisionless reconnection in electron-positron plasmas, Physics of Plasmas, 14 (2007).

[Ha06] A. Hakim, Extended MHD modelling with the ten-moment equations, Journal of Fusion Energy, 27 (2008).

- [HeKuBi04] M. Hesse, M. Kuznetsova, and J. Birn, The role of electron heat flux in guide-field magnetic reconnection, Physics of Plasmas, 11 (2004).
- [Jo11] E.A. Johnson, Gaussian-Moment Relaxation Closures for Verifiable Numerical Simulation of Fast Magnetic Reconnection in Plasma, PhD thesis, UW–Madison, 2011
- [JoRo10] E. A. Johnson and J. A. Rossmanith, *Ten-moment two-fluid plasma model agrees well with PIC/Vlasov in GEM problem*, proceedings for HYP2010, November 2010.
- [McGr08] J. G. McDonald and C. P. T. Groth, Extended fluid-dynamic model for micron-scale flows based on Gaussian moment closure, 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, (2008).
- [MiGr07] K. Muira and C. P. T. Groth, Development of two-fluid magnetohydrodynamics model for non-equilibrium anisotropic plasma flows, Miami, Florida, June 2007, AIAA, 38th AIAA Plasmadynamics and Lasers Conference.
- [ScGr06] H. Schmitz and R. Grauer, *Darwin-Vlasov simulations of magnetised plasmas*, J. Comp. Phys., 214 (2006).
- [ShDrRoDe01] M. A. Shay, J. F. Drake, B. N. Rogers, and R. E. Denton, Alfvenic collisionless magnetic reconnection and the Hall term, J. Geophys. Res. – Space Physics (2001).

[Woods04] L. C. Woods, *Physics of Plasmas*, WILEY-VCH, 2004.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト